

#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN, COHEN & POKOTILOW, LTD. Attn: PTO Customer No. 31717 11TH FLOOR, SEVEN PENN CENTER PHILADELPHIA PA 19103-2212

MAILED SEP 23 2011 OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Williams

Application No. 10/782,968 Filed: February 20, 2004

Attorney Docket No. W1107/20009

Title: CLINICAL ASSAYS FOR

THROMBOSPONDIN FRAGMENTS IN THE

DETECTION, DIAGNOSIS, AND

EVALUATION OF CANCER

: ON APPLICATION FOR

: PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT

This is in response to the "APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. \$1.705", filed September 20, 2011. Applicant submits that the correct patent term adjustment to be indicated is four hundred four (404) days, not two hundred fifty-four (254) days as calculated by the Office as of the mailing of the initial determination of patent term adjustment.

The merits of the request for reconsideration of the patent term adjustment have not been reviewed because the paper submitted does not contain a signature as required 37 CFR 1.34.

Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.34:

When a patent practitioner acting in a representative capacity appears in person or signs a paper in practice before the United States Patent, and Trademark Office in a patent case, his or her personal appearance or signature shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and Trademark Office that under the provisions of this subchapter and the law, he or she is authorized to represent the particular party on whose behalf he or she acts. In filing such a paper, the patent practitioner must set forth his or her registration number, his or her name and signature. Further proof of authority to act in a representative capacity may be required.

Petitioner has not been authorized to prosecute the instant application and has provided an incorrect registration number.

As such the request for reconsideration is Dismissed.

The Office of Data Management has been advised of this decision. This application is being referred to the Office of Data Management for issuance of the patent.

Telephone inquiries specific to this matter should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3215.

Charlema Grant

Attorney Advisor

Office of Petitions

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE PATENT EXAMINING OPERATION

Applicant(s): Kevin Jon Williams

U.S. application no. 10/782,968

Group Art Unit: 1643

Filed: February 20, 2004

Examiner: Alana M. Harris

Att. Docket No.: W1107/20009

Confirmation No.: 9607

For:

Clinical Assays for Thrombospondin Fragments in the Detection, Diagnosis, and

Evaluation of Cancer".

# APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT UNDER 37 C. F. R. § 1.705

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant requests reconsideration of the Patent Term Adjustment ("PTA") set forth in the "Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due" dated June 24, 2011. The basis for the Request starts on page 2 of this paper.

I hereby certify that this	correspondence and a	SION PURSUANT TO 37 CFR 1.8 any attachments referenced therein is/are being (37 CFR § 1.6(a)(4)) on the date shown below.
Date: 9/20/2011	Signature:	Allan H Tired
	Name:	Allan H. Fried

### REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

This request is specific to the calculation under 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1). Applicant understands that any request for a reconsideration of a calculation under 37 CFR 1.702(b) would be premature.

# Fee required per 37 CFR §1.18(e)

The fee is being submitted via EFS-web along with this document.

#### Statement of the facts

# Correct patent term adjustments ("PTAs"):

On Notice of Allowance the PTA should be: 404 days

On PAIR, the A delays should be: 705 days

On PAIR, A delay due to notification later than 14 months should be: 674 days

## Current incorrect PTAs

On Notice of Allowance the PTA is: 254 days

On PAIR, A delays are: 555 days

On PAIR, A delay due to notification later than 14 months is: 524 days

### Basis for adjustments:

The notification mailed September 26, 2006 was an "Office Communication" disclosing, in its first paragraph, that it was essentially a "Notice to Comply With Requirements for Patent

Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence And/Or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures".

The phrase "attached Notice to Comply" in that paragraph was inaccurate since (as can be seen

from PAIR) there was no attached Notice. Rather the essence of the Notice to Comply was set

forth in the second paragraph of the Office Communication.

A "Notice to Comply With Requirements for Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide

Sequence And/Or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures" does not qualify as an office action under

35 U.S.C. 132. (See MPEP §2731). Rather the office action mailed February 23, 2007, a

Restriction Requirement, does so qualify. (See MPEP §2731). The difference between

September 26, 2006 and February 23, 2011 is 150 days.

Relevant dates for which an adjustment is sought:

The mailing of a notification under 35 U.S.C. 132 should not be September 26, 2006.

Rather it should be February 23, 2007. (See 37 CFR 1.703(a)(1)).

Terminal Disclaimer:

The patent that will issue on the present application is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

The expiration date specified on the terminal disclaimer is "the expiration date of the full

statutory term prior patent No.7,655,411".

Page 3 of 4

Application ser. no. 10/782,968 Attorney Docket No. W1107/20009 Request for Reconsideration Dated September 20, 2011

Circumstances during the prosecution of the application that constituted a failure to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of the application as set forth in <u>§1.704:</u>

These are set forth in PAIR. They total 301 days. They correspond to late responses by Applicant to Patent Office notifications. They are denoted on PAIR as follows:

July 23, 2007:

61 days

June 8, 2008:

93 days

September 3, 2009:

91 days

May 6, 2010:

16 days

November 18, 2010: 40 days

Total:

301 days

Should a Patent Office representative believe that a telephone conversation would be useful, Applicant's undersigned attorney can best be reached on his cell phone at 610-724-2952.

Respectfully submitted,

CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN, COHEN & POKOTILOW, LTD.

September 20, 2011

Please charge or credit our Account No. 03-0075 as necessary to effect entry and/or ensure consideration of this submission.

Allan H. Duck Allan H. Fried

Registration No. 35,213 21,253

Customer No. 31717

(215) 567-2010

Attorneys for Applicant